

Appl. No. : 10/084,689  
Filed : February 26, 2002

### REMARKS

In the Office communication dated April 13, 2004, the Examiner stated his belief that Applicant has presented three distinct inventions identified as Invention I, Invention II and Invention III. Specifically, the Examiner identified Claims 1-13 and 29-30 as Invention I, identified Claims 14-20 as Invention II, and identified Claims 21-28 as Invention III. The Examiner has required Applicant to elect an identified invention.

Without necessarily agreeing with the Examiner's imposition of a restriction requirement, Applicant elects Claims 1-13 and 29-30 with traverse and herein withdraws Claims 14-28. Applicant believes, as the Examiner has pointed out, that Claim 1 is generic and respectfully submits that a search for one of the identified inventions would be required for the others.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 5/13/04

By: 

Douglas G. Muehlhauser  
Registration No. 42,018  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(949) 760-0404